1 2 3 4	Daniel Olmos (SBN 235319) Nolan, Armstrong & Barton, LLP 600 University Avenue Palo Alto, Ca. 94301 Tel. (650) 326-2980 Fax (650) 326-9704	EÒEZSÒÖÆÆF€ÐFÎ ÐFHE
5	Counsel for Defendant Sanjeev Bais	
6 7	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
8		
9	SAN JOSE DIVISION	
10	UNITED STATES,	Case No. CR 13-00252 DLJ
11	Plaintiff,	STIPULATION AND []
12	v.	ORDER TO CONTINUE STATUS CONFERENCE AND TO EXCLUDE
13		TIME UNDER THE SPEEDY TRIAL ACT
14	SANJEEV BAIS,	
15	Defendant.	
16		
17		
18		
19	IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED AND AGREED between the Government, through	
20	Assistant United States Attorney Thomas Moore, and Defendant Sanjeev Bais, through his	
21	attorney Daniel Olmos, that the status conference presently set for October 17, 2013, be continued	
22		
23	to December 12, 2013, at 9:00 a.m. The reason for the request for continuance is that the parties	
24	have engaged in discussions regarding early resolution of the case, and defense counsel has	
25	agreed to provide discovery to the government which will require additional time for review.	
26	In the previous stipulation filed with this Court, the parties indicated that they planned to	
27	engage in settlement discussions once defense counsel had the opportunity to review contents of	

the digital media seized by law enforcement from Mr. Bais. The defense has completed its initial review of the media, and the parties have engaged in preliminary discussions regarding settlement. However, the defense intends to provide the government with additional discovery in this matter before the parties continue conferring. The parties agree that the status conference should be continued in order to allow defense counsel to provide the information to the government for its review. The parties agree that the time between October 17, 2013, and December 12, 2013, should be excluded from calculations under the Speedy Trial Act, which excludes delay when the interests of justice in allowing for the effective preparation of the defense outweigh the best interest of the public and the defendant in a speedy trial, taking into account the exercise of due diligence. 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7). IT IS SO STIPULATED: Dated: October 11, 2013 NOLAN, ARMSTRONG & BARTON LLP DANIEL B. OLMOS Attorney for Defendant Sanjeev Bais Dated: October 11, 2013 THOMAS MOORE Assistant United States Attorney

1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
7	FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
8	SAN JOSE DIVISION	
9	UNITED STATES,	Case No. CR 13-00252 DLJ
10	Plaintiff,	[] ORDER TO CONTINUE
11	v.	STATUS CONFERENCE AND EXCLUDE TIME UNDER THE SPEEDY TRIAL ACT
12	, .	TIME ONDER THE STEEDT TRINE NOT
13	SANJEEV BAIS,	
14	Defendant.	
15		
16	GOOD CAUSE HAVING BEEN SHOWN, it is hereby ordered that the status conference	
17	in this matter now scheduled for October 17, 2013, at 9:00 a.m. is hereby rescheduled for	
18	December 12, 2013, at 9:00 a.m. Based upon the representation of counsel and for good cause	
19	shown, the Court also finds that the time between October 17 and December 12, 2013, shall be	
20	excluded from calculations under the Speedy Trial Act. The interests of justice in allowing for th	
21		
22	effective preparation of the defense and continuity of counsel outweigh the best interest of the	
23	public and the defendant in a speedy trial, taking into account the exercise of due diligence. 18	
24	U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7).	
25	Dated: F€TFÎ FFH) man some
26	The	Hon. D. Lowell Jensen
27	Uni	ted States District Judge